



### **Public Meeting:**

Discussion Regarding the Distribution of AWBA Long-term Storage Credits for Firming CAP Municipal and Industrial (M&I) Priority Subcontract Supplies

Phoenix AZ, 85007 May 2, 2023



### **Agenda**



1. Welcome

- 2. Feedback from Stakeholders
- a. Comments on Alternative Firming Method 1
- b. Comments on Alternative Firming Method 2
- c. Alternatives Submitted by Stakeholders
- d. Other Comments
- 3. CAP Update on Recovery
- 4. Call to the Public
- 5. Next Steps

This meeting is only to discuss distribution of credits for CAP M&I. There will be no discussion regarding the firming of MCWA or any other entities. Additionally, Tribal firming is subject to agreement terms.

### Second Stakeholder Meeting: Distribution of AWBA LTSCs for firming CAP M&I Subcontract Supplies

May, 02 2023 10:00 am 🗐



#### Agenda

Notice & Final Agenda

#### **Meeting Materials**

Comment letter from Arizona Water Company

Comment letter from City of Phoenix

Comments from AMWUA

Comments from CAGRD

Comments from City of Chandler

Comments from City of El Mirage

Comments from Town of Gilbert

Comments from Headwaters Consulting

Comments from City of Mesa

Comments from City of Surprise

Comments from City of Tempe

Comments from City of Peoria

waterbank.az.gov/meetings/second-stakeholder-meetingdistribution-awba-ltscs-firming-cap-mi-subcontract-supplies

# 2a. Feedback on Alternative Firming Method 1 Phased Approach

#### Scenario 1A

Firming decreases by 5% every 5 years, from 20% of demand to 5%, with 1% decreases every 5 years thereafter

AMA	Years of Firming
Phoenix	>100
Pinal	>100
Tucson	26

#### Scenario 1B

Firming decreases by 5% every 5 years, from 20% of demand to 5%, and is maintained at 5% thereafter

AMA	Years of Firming
Phoenix	48.8
Pinal	84.5
Tucson	24.9

#### **Scenario 1C**

Firming decreases by 5% every 10 years, from 20% of demand to 5%, and is maintained at 5% thereafter

AMA	Years of Firming
Phoenix	25.5
Pinal	54.6
Tucson	15.1

Table 1: Summary of the Phased Approach's three scenarios and the results by AMA

# 2a. Feedback on Alternative Firming Method 1 Phased Approach

#### Feedback received:

- Reasonable approach
- Concerns about the value of LTSCs
- Complex method
- Arbitrary method

## 2b. Feedback on Alternative Firming Method 2 Incentive-based

#### **Firming 5% of Projected Demand**

AMA	Years of Firming
Phoenix	74.8
Pinal	> 100
Tucson	54.5

Table 2: Summary of Incentive-based Method. Years of firming at 5% of projected demand, starting in 2024.

#### Firming 20% of Projected Demand

AMA	Years of Firming
Phoenix	20.5
Pinal	28.7
Tucson	13.9

Table 3: Summary of Incentive-based Method. Years of firming at 20% of projected demand, starting in 2024.

## 2b. Feedback on Alternative Firming Method 2 Incentive-based

#### Feedback received:

- Reasonable approach
- Question of the AWBA's authority
- Unachievable for CAGRD
- Difficult for ACC-regulated systems
- Complex requirements

# 2c. Alternatives Submitted by Stakeholders Percentage-based

## Up to 20% pro rata firming of M&I cuts starting in 2024 and thereafter

AMA	Years of Firming
Phoenix	20
Pinal	28
Tucson	14

Table 4: LTSC availability results with 20% demand firming, starting in 2024.

# 2c. Alternatives Submitted by Stakeholders Percentage-based

#### Minimum of 10% firming, starting in 2024

AMA	Years of Firming
Phoenix	32
Pinal	49
Tucson	20

Table 5: Years of firming 20% of demand for 5 years, 15% for 5 years, and 10% thereafter

AMA	Years of Firming
Phoenix	25
Pinal	42
Tucson	15

Table 6: Years of firming 20% of demand for 10 years, 15% for 10 years, and 10% thereafter

## 2c. Alternatives Submitted by Stakeholders Time-based

### Distribution for 25-30 years to allow for recovery infrastructure

AMA	25 years (2024-2048)	30 years (2024-2053)
Phoenix	16%	13%
Pinal	23%	19%
Tucson	11%	9%

Table 7: Percentages of CAP M&I annual demand for planned depletion by 2048 and 2053

#### **Distribution until 2097**

AMA	74 years (2024-2097)
Phoenix	5%
Pinal	8%
Tucson	4%

Table 8: Percentages of CAP M&I annual demand for planned depletion by 2097

# 2c. Alternatives Submitted by Stakeholders Other Proposals

- Distribution as a function of recovery capacity
- Distribution based on need (WTPs, separate policies for customer demand vs. accrual of credits)
- Establishment of a maximum firming percentage for planning purposes
- Distribution methods vary by AMA
- Distribution based on the relative amount of property tax dollars each subcontractors' ratepayers contributed to the accumulation of LTSCs available for firming
- Requiring subcontractors to use a matching amount of their own LTSCs

### 2d. Other Comments

- AWBA's authority to distribute LTSCs "to the extent necessary"
- Need to take AWBA's future LTSC acquisitions into account
- Rescind DCP Firming Policy
- Consider the impact to the aquifer when recovering (hydrologic disconnect)
- Request for more information about Tonopah Desert Recharge Project from CAP

### 3. CAP Update on Recovery



Tonopah Desert Recharge Project | Photo credits: CAWCD

### 4. Call to the Public

- We have reserved time for comments from the audience, both in person and online.
- For those attending in person, please raise your hand.
- For those joining us online, please raise your hand or post your comment in the chat box.

### 5. Next Steps

- Report to the AWBA Commission
- Feedback may be sent through our google form
   or to Rebecca Bernat <u>rbernat@azwater.gov</u> by May 9, 2023
- Today's PowerPoint and recording will be posted on the AWBA website